

Report of the Chief Auditor

Governance & Audit Committee - 18 May 2021

Internal Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report Q4 2020/21

Purpose: This report provides the Committee with the

status of the recommendations made in those audits where the follow-up's has been undertaken in Q4 2020/21, to allow the Audit Committee to monitor the implementation of recommendations

made by Internal Audit.

Policy Framework: None

Consultation: Legal, Finance and Access to Services

Report Author: Simon Cockings

Finance Officer: Simon Cockings

Legal Officer: Debbie Smith

Access to Services

Officer:

Rhian Millar

For Information

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Audit Committee's Performance Review for 2017/18 was completed in June 2018. One of the recommendations arising from the review was in relation to the tracking of the recommendations made by Internal and External Audit.
- 1.2 This report provides an overview of how recommendations made by Internal and External Audit are tracked and followed-up.

2. Standard Follow-up Procedures

- 2.1 An amended internal audit follow-up procedure was introduced in 2014 as a result of concerns being raised over the failure of management to implement audit recommendations.
- 2.2 The current procedures identify two methods of following-up on the implementation of recommendations made as a result of internal audit reviews for the fundamental audits and non-fundamental audits.

3. Fundamental Audits

- 3.1 These audits are undertaken on a yearly or two-yearly cycle. All fundamental audits are subject to a Recommendation Tracker Exercise each year, which is normally completed as at the end of September.
- 3.2 The exercise involves discussion with the client department to go through the agreed Action Plan together with a limited amount of testing to confirm whether the recommendations have been implemented.
- 3.3 The results of the Recommendation Tracker Exercise is reported to Audit Committee in a separate Recommendation Tracker report.

4. Non-fundamental Audits

- 4.1 All other audits that have been given a 'limited' or 'moderate' level of assurance are reported to Audit Committee as part of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports. All such audits are subject to a detailed follow-up visit within 6 months of the issue of the final report.
- 4.2 The follow-up visit concentrates on 'high risk' and 'medium risk' recommendations, and will include discussion with the client department and limited testing to confirm implementation.
- 4.3 The results of the follow-up visit are reported to Audit Committee as part of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports.
- 4.4 Where an audit has been given a 'high' or 'substantial' level of assurance, client departments are asked to confirm the implementation of the recommendations via e-mail.
- 4.5 The results of all follow-up's undertaken are logged and recorded on the Audit Management System (Galileo) to ensure completion is monitored appropriately.

5. Chief Auditors Group Pl's

5.1 Following discussions at the Welsh Chief Auditors Group Meeting in October 2018, it was decided that a new Performance Indicator (PI)

should be introduced to record the number of recommendations that have been implemented as a percentage of those recommendations made. Note that this has not been introduced for 2018/19. It is envisaged that the new process that we have introduced from April 2019 will allow these details to be recorded.

6. External Audit Recommendation Tracking

Whilst it is not practicable to track every external audit recommendation without additional resources and a suitable ICT solution, Scrutiny Programme Committee will receive WAO audit reports and action plans to address recommendations and proposals and will review progress against recommendations within 12 months of the receipt of the report and action plan as their work plan allows. Audit Committee will also receive reports and action plans for information and it may decide that it wants to prioritise and track specific proposals / recommendations in addition to the oversight undertaken by Scrutiny. This does not include those WAO reports that would be intended specifically for Audit Committee.

7. Status of Implementation Update to Committee

7.1 The purpose of this report is to allow committee to monitor the implementation status for those audits that have been subject to a follow-up review in the quarter. This will include all follow-ups completed, except for the fundamental audits as the outcome of these follow-up reviews are reported to committee separately.

8 Integrated Assessment Implications

- 8.1 The Council is subject to the Public Sector Equality Duty (Wales) and must, in the exercise of their functions, have due regard to the need to:
 - Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
 - Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
 - Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
 - Deliver better outcomes for those people who experience socioeconomic disadvantage

The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2005 mandates that public bodies in Wales must carry out sustainable development. Sustainable development means the process of improving the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales by taking action, in accordance with the sustainable development principle, aimed at achieving the 'well-being goals'.

Our Integrated Impact Assessment process ensures that we have paid due regard to the above.

- 8.2 The completion of the Integrated Impact Assessment Screening revealed that:
 - The Quarterly Recommendation Tracker Report has a low positive impact across all groups.
 - It has been subject to consultation with the Chief Finance & S151 Officer, Legal, Access to Services, Corporate Management Team and Heads of Service.
 - All Well-being of Future Generations Act considerations are positive and any risks identified are low.
 - The overall impact of the Quarterly Recommendation Tracker Report is positive as it will support the Authority in its requirement to protect public funds.

9. Financial Implications

9.1 There are no financial implications associated with this report.

10. Legal Implications

10.1 There are no legal implications associated with this report

Background Papers: None

Appendix 1 – Summary - Recommendations accepted and implemented.